Fatigue Among Short- and Long-Term Thyroid Cancer Survivors: Results from the Population-Based PROFILES Registry
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Background: The aims of this study were (i) to obtain insight into the prevalence of fatigue among short- and long-term thyroid cancer (TC) survivors, by comparing a sample of TC survivors with an age- and sex-matched normative population, and (ii) to investigate which demographic, clinical, and TC-specific health-related quality of life (HRQoL) characteristics were associated with fatigue.

Methods: All patients found to have TC between 1990 and 2008, as registered in the Eindhoven Cancer Registry, received a cross-sectional survey on fatigue (Fatigue Assessment Scale), TC-specific HRQoL (THYCA-QoL), and psychological distress (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale). The fatigue scores were compared with those of an age- and sex-matched normative population (n = 530). Multiple logistic regression analyses were conducted to investigate the independent associations between clinical and demographic characteristics, TC-specific HRQoL, and psychological distress with fatigue.

Results: Eighty-six percent (n = 306) responded. TC survivors were more often classified as fatigued or very fatigued (short-term <5 years: 43%; long-term 5–10 years: 44%; long-term 10–15 years: 47%; long-term >15 years: 39%) compared to the normative population (25%; p < 0.001). Anxiety (odds ratio (OR) 1.15, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.03–1.28) and depression (OR 1.43 [CI 1.22–1.68]) were associated with fatigue, as was also the case for TC-specific neuromuscular (OR 1.03 [CI 1.01–1.06]), concentration (OR 1.03 [CI 1.01–1.06]), and psychological TC-specific HRQoL (OR 1.06 [CI 1.02–1.10]).

Conclusion: Short- and long-term TC survivors report higher levels of fatigue than an age- and sex-matched normative population do. Both TC-specific HRQoL and psychological distress were associated with fatigue.

Introduction

Worldwide, the incidence of thyroid cancer (TC) is rising (1). As a result of the very good prognosis of papillary and follicular TC (exceeding >90% 5-year survival), the number of TC survivors is also rising (2,3). Treatment of TC involves surgery, predominantly (near-)total thyroidectomy, followed by radioactive iodine (131I) therapy to ablate the remaining thyroid tissue. Depending on type and size of the tumor, hemithyroidectomy can suffice. The removal of the thyroid gland is accompanied by a lifelong dependence on substitution therapy with levothyroxine and in the first two years with dosing regimens suppressing thyrotropin (TSH) production (4,5), causing subclinical hyperthyroidism. Despite the efficacy of these primary treatments and the high long-term survival rates, the disease can recur even decades later. Therefore, long-term follow-up is necessary. Given the longevity of TC patients, the possible long-term effects of cancer and its treatment on patients’ well-being are of increasing importance.

Fatigue is a common problem among different groups of cancer survivors, with prevalence rates ranging between 17% and 90% (6–8). Decreased health-related quality of life (HRQoL) (9) and high levels of psychological distress (10–12) are associated with high levels of fatigue in cancer survivors. There are a limited number of studies reporting on the levels...
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of fatigue among TC patients (13–20); nevertheless, exact prevalence rates of fatigue among long-term TC cancer survivors (≥5 years after diagnosis) are lacking. Since short-term TC survivors receive suppressive doses of levothyroxine, causing subclinical hyperthyroidism, which is frequently accompanied by high levels of fatigue (21), it can be expected that these survivors experience higher levels of fatigue than long-term TC survivors who have returned to a euthyroid state.

The primary objective of our study was to obtain insight into the prevalence of fatigue among short- and long-term TC survivors, by comparing a sample of TC survivors with an age- and sex-matched normative population. Second, our objective was to investigate the associations between demographic and clinical characteristics, TC-specific HRQoL, and psychological distress with levels of fatigue in TC survivors. Our hypotheses were as follows: (i) short-term TC survivors report higher levels of fatigue than long-term survivors and the normative population do; (ii) long-term survivors return to normal levels of fatigue and therefore report similar fatigue levels compared to the normative population; (iii) clinical characteristics, TC-specific HRQoL, and psychological distress are significantly associated with fatigue.

Materials and Methods
Setting and population
This study was a population-based survey among TC survivors registered within the Eindhoven Cancer Registry (ECR) of the Comprehensive Cancer Centre South (CCCS). The ECR compiles data of all individuals newly found to have cancer in the southern part of the Netherlands, an area with 10 hospitals serving 2.3 million inhabitants (22). All individuals found to have TC between 1990 and 2008 as registered in the ECR were eligible for participation (n = 568). We excluded patients who had cognitive impairment or were too ill at the time of the study (medical records and advice from the attending specialist; n = 31), had unverifiable addresses (n = 90), or died prior to the start of the study (according to the ECR, the Central Bureau for Genealogy, which collects information on all deceased Dutch citizens via the civil municipal registries, and hospital records; n = 6). One hospital declined to participate (n = 86). Questionnaires were sent to the remaining 355 patients. This study was approved by the certified Medical Ethics Committee of the Maxima Medical Centre in Eindhoven.

Data collection
Data collection started in November 2010 and was done within PROFILES (Patient Reported Outcomes Following Initial treatment and Long term Evaluation of Survivorship) (23). PROFILES is a registry for the study of the physical and psychosocial impact of cancer and its treatment from a dynamic, growing population-based cohort of both short- and long-term cancer survivors. PROFILES contains a large web-based component and is linked directly to clinical data from the ECR. Details of the PROFILES data collection method have been previously described (23). In summary, survivors were informed of the study via a letter from their (ex-) attending specialist. The letter included a link to a secure website, a login name, and a password, so that interested patients could provide informed consent and complete questionnaires online. If the patient did not have access to the Internet, or preferred written rather than digital communication, (s)he could return our postcard by mail after which (s)he received our paper-and-pencil version of the informed consent form and questionnaire. Data from the PROFILES registry are available for noncommercial scientific research, subject to study question, privacy and confidentiality restrictions, and registration.

Study measures
Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. Survivors’ sociodemographic and clinical characteristics at the time of cancer diagnosis were available from the ECR. The ECR routinely collects data on tumor characteristics, including date of diagnosis, tumor grade, and stage according to the Tumor-Node-Metastasis clinical classification (24), treatment, and patient background characteristics, including date of birth and comorbidity at the time of diagnosis. Self-reported comorbidity at the time of survey was categorized according to the adapted Self-administered Comorbidity Questionnaire (25), including heart disease, stroke, high blood pressure, lung disease, diabetes, ulcer or stomach disease, kidney disease, anemia or other blood disease, depression, osteoarthritis, back pain, rheumatoid arthritis, and a question on other medical problems. Questions on marital status, educational level, and current occupation were added to the questionnaire.

Psychological distress. Psychological distress was assessed with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, with seven items each assessing anxiety and depression (26). Clinical level of anxiety or depressive symptoms was indicated with a score of ≥8 on each subscale (26,27).

TC-specific HRQoL. TC-specific HRQoL was measured by the THYCA-QoL, which was developed to assess side effects caused by TC or its treatment (28). The questionnaire consists of 24 items, with a time frame of the previous week, except for the sexual interest item, which is 4 weeks. Each item is scored on a 4-point response scale ranging from 1, “not at all,” to 4, “very much.” The THYCA-QoL consists of seven scales (neuromuscular, voice, concentration, sympathetic, throat/mouth, psychological, and sensory problems) and six single items. Scores were linear-transformed to a 0–100 scale. A higher score on this scale means more complaints.

Fatigue. Fatigue was assessed with the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS), a questionnaire consisting of 10 items: five questions reflecting physical fatigue and five questions for mental fatigue. The response scale is a 5-point scale (1, never, to 5, always) and total scores can range from 10 to 50. Participants can be divided into three groups based on total FAS scores (29): not fatigued (as defined by a score of 10 to 21), fatigued (22 to 34), and very fatigued (35 to 50). The psychometric properties are good (30,31), and the questionnaire has been used with cancer patients before (32).

Normative population
Normative population data were obtained from CentERpanel, an online household panel that is representative of
the Dutch population. The process of the annual data collection, which started in 2009 by our study group, is described elsewhere (33). The most recent data wave in 2011 also included an assessment of fatigue with the FAS. From the 2040 (82%) members of 18 years and older, an age- and sex-matched normative sample (n = 530) was selected for this study to reflect the age and sex distribution of the TC sample. Sociodemographic data such as marital status, and comorbidity with the Self-administered Comorbidity Questionnaire were also collected for this group. TC-specific HRQoL was not assessed in the normative population.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.0 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Chicago, IL) and p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Missing items from the FAS total scale were mean imputed if at least eight of the items from the scale were answered.

Demographic and clinical data of respondents, nonrespondents, and patients with unverifiable addresses were compared using chi-square statistics for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables. The non-parametric Wilcoxon test was applied when normality and homogeneity assumptions of continuous variables were violated.

Analysis of variance was furthermore used to compare the mean scores of the TC sample (stratified by years since diagnosis: short-term <5 years; long-term 5–10 years; long-term 10–15 years; long-term >15 years) on the FAS items and total score with those of the Dutch normative population. Chi-square analyses were performed to compare the categorized FAS scores (not fatigued, fatigued, very fatigued) between short- and long-term survivors and the normative population. Clinically meaningful differences were determined with Norman’s “rule of thumb,” whereby a difference of ≈ 0.5 SD indicates a threshold of discriminant change in scores of a chronic illness (34).

Logistic regression models were conducted to identify associations of demographic and clinical characteristics, TC-specific HRQoL, and psychological distress with fatigue, since all these factors were associated with fatigue among other groups of cancer survivors (9,11,35,36). The models were composed as follows: (i) demographics; (ii) demographics + clinical variables; (iii) demographics + clinical variables + psychological distress; (iv) demographics + clinical variables + TC-specific HRQoL. Patients with missing items concerning the selected variables were excluded from the analyses.

The total FAS score was divided into two groups, 10–21 (not fatigued) and 22–50 (fatigued), as previously done (29).

Results

Patient and tumor characteristics

Three hundred six patients returned a completed questionnaire (response 86%). A comparison of respondents, nonrespondents, and patients with unverifiable addresses indicated that patients with unverifiable addresses were younger than nonrespondents and respondents (mean 52, 56, and 54 years, respectively; p = 0.04) (37). No differences between groups were seen regarding type of TC, stage of the disease, or primary treatment.

The mean age at the time of the survey was 56 (SD 15) years. The median time since diagnosis was 9.0 years. More than half of the patients were found to have stage I disease (57%). Surgery (99%) was followed by 131I ablation therapy in 69% and by 131I therapy in 3% of the cases. More than three quarters (78%) of the patients had one or more comorbid conditions. The most common comorbidities were backache (36%), high blood pressure (29%), osteoarthritis (28%), and cardiovascular problems (12%).

Comparisons on clinical and sociodemographic characteristics, TC-specific HRQoL, and psychological distress of respondents stratified by years since diagnosis showed that short-term survivors were more likely to be found to have a higher stage disease than long-term survivors (Table 1). Furthermore, survivors <5 years since diagnosis were more likely to report voice, sympathetic, and throat/mouth problems than survivors >15 years since diagnosis and higher levels of anxiety than survivors ≥10 years since diagnosis (Table 2). There was a trend toward diminishing TC-specific problems over time.

The normative population was more likely to be higher educated, reported less comorbidities, and was less likely to have anxiety than short- and long-term TC survivors (Tables 1 and 2).
fatigued compared to survivors ≥10 years after diagnosis (p = 0.03).

**Logistic regression**

Logistic regression models were conducted to identify associations of demographic and clinical characteristics, TC-specific HRQoL, and psychological distress with fatigue. Model 1 consisting of only demographic variables showed that lower education (odds ratio (OR) 2.79 [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.55–5.04], p < 0.01) was associated with a higher risk for fatigue (Table 4).

With the inclusion of clinical variables in model 2, education remained significantly associated with fatigue, while partnership became significant in this model, whereby absence of a partner was associated with more fatigue (OR 1.94 [CI 1.05–3.58], p = 0.04). The presence of comorbid conditions (OR 2.60 [CI 1.42–4.74], p < 0.01) was also significantly associated with fatigue.

In model 3, anxiety (OR 1.15 [CI 1.03–1.28], p = 0.01) and depression (OR 1.43 [CI 1.22–1.68], p < 0.01) were significantly associated with fatigue. Following the inclusion of these psychological factors, only comorbidity (OR 2.39 [CI 1.14–5.00], p = 0.02) remained significant in this model.

In model 4, neuromuscular (OR 1.04 [CI 1.02–1.06], p < 0.01), concentration (OR 1.03 [CI 1.01–1.06], p = 0.01), and psychological problems (OR 1.05 [CI 1.02–1.28], p < 0.01) were significantly associated with fatigue, whereby more problems indicated higher levels of fatigue. All clinical variables were not significantly associated with fatigue anymore, while age at survey (OR 0.97 [CI 0.94–1.00], p = 0.05) and educational level (OR 2.57 [CI 1.06–6.62], p = 0.04) were also significant, whereby an older age and higher educational level were associated with less fatigue. The single items of the TC-specific...
FATIGUE AMONG THYROID CANCER SURVIVORS 1251

Table 2. Mean Scores (±SD) of Thyroid Cancer-Specific Health-Related Quality of Life and Psychological Distress Stratified by Time Since Diagnosis and the Normative Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Short-term survivors, &lt;5 years (n=81)</th>
<th>Long-term survivors, 5–10 years (n=86)</th>
<th>Long-term survivors, 10–15 years (n=75)</th>
<th>Long-term survivors, &gt;15 years (n=64)</th>
<th>Normative population (n=530)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid cancer–specific HRQoL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromuscular problems</td>
<td>27.7±22.1</td>
<td>23.8±23.3</td>
<td>22.5±20.4</td>
<td>21.6±21.3</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice problems</td>
<td>16.0±22.7</td>
<td>12.1±20.6</td>
<td>9.0±15.7</td>
<td>5.2±11.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration problems</td>
<td>19.6±21.5</td>
<td>18.5±25.2</td>
<td>12.1±19.3</td>
<td>12.1±18.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic problems</td>
<td>23.3±29.2</td>
<td>21.8±28.3</td>
<td>14.4±20.5</td>
<td>13.6±19.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat/mouth problems</td>
<td>19.3±20.1</td>
<td>13.2±18.1</td>
<td>12.3±15.3</td>
<td>4.8±8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological problems</td>
<td>16.9±17.1</td>
<td>15.8±18.7</td>
<td>12.9±16.1</td>
<td>10.7±12.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory problems</td>
<td>17.5±19.2</td>
<td>14.3±19.8</td>
<td>14.1±18.9</td>
<td>14.3±21.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological distress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS anxiety</td>
<td>5.2±3.6</td>
<td>5.1±4.4</td>
<td>4.1±3.2</td>
<td>4.2±3.7</td>
<td>3.7±3.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS depression</td>
<td>3.6±2.9</td>
<td>3.7±3.5</td>
<td>3.0±2.6</td>
<td>3.4±3.2</td>
<td>3.6±3.3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
% above the ≥8 clinical cutoff (26,27)  |                                       |                                        |                                        |                                        |                             |    |
| HADS anxiety            | 19 (24)                                | 20 (24)                                | 8 (12)                                 | 8 (14)                                 | 64 (12)                     | 0.004|
| HADS depression         | 11 (14)                                | 13 (16)                                | 5 (7)                                  | 7 (12)                                 | 7 (12)                      | 0.60|

*p-Value for comparison of all available groups. Bold = p < 0.05.
HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; n.a., these items were not assessed in the normative population.

Discussion
This large population-based study among survivors of TC showed that fatigue remains a problem long after diagnosis and initial therapy. In general, regardless of time since diagnosis, TC survivors reported statistically significant higher levels of fatigue than an age- and sex-matched normative population did, although clinically meaningful differences were only found between short-term survivors and the

Table 3. Mean Fatigue Scores of Thyroid Cancer Survivors by Years Since Diagnosis and the Normative Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAS items (range 1–5)</th>
<th>Short-term survivors, &lt;5 years (n=80)</th>
<th>Long-term survivors, 5–10 years (n=84)</th>
<th>Long-term survivors, 10–15 years (n=72)</th>
<th>Long-term survivors, &gt;15 years (n=60)</th>
<th>Normative population (n=530)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. I am bothered by fatigue.</td>
<td>2.7±1.1</td>
<td>2.8±1.2</td>
<td>2.7±1.2</td>
<td>2.5±1.0</td>
<td>2.1±0.9</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I get tired very quickly.</td>
<td>2.6±1.2a</td>
<td>2.6±1.3b</td>
<td>2.4±1.1a</td>
<td>2.3±1.1</td>
<td>1.9±0.9</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I do not do much during the day.</td>
<td>2.2±1.1</td>
<td>2.3±1.3</td>
<td>1.9±1.0</td>
<td>2.0±1.1</td>
<td>1.8±0.9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I have enough energy for everyday life.</td>
<td>2.9±1.3</td>
<td>2.7±1.3</td>
<td>2.7±1.3</td>
<td>2.5±1.3</td>
<td>2.6±1.3</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Physically, I feel exhausted.</td>
<td>2.1±1.0a</td>
<td>2.0±1.1b</td>
<td>1.9±0.9</td>
<td>1.8±0.9</td>
<td>1.6±0.8</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I have problems starting things.</td>
<td>2.0±0.9</td>
<td>2.0±1.1b</td>
<td>1.8±0.7</td>
<td>1.9±0.9</td>
<td>1.7±0.8</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I have problems thinking clearly.</td>
<td>1.9±0.9a</td>
<td>1.8±1.1b</td>
<td>1.6±0.6</td>
<td>1.7±0.7</td>
<td>1.4±0.7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I feel no desire to do anything.</td>
<td>2.0±0.8</td>
<td>2.0±1.0b</td>
<td>1.8±0.7</td>
<td>2.0±0.8</td>
<td>1.7±0.7</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Mentally, I feel exhausted.</td>
<td>1.8±0.9b</td>
<td>1.8±1.1b</td>
<td>1.6±0.7</td>
<td>1.5±0.8</td>
<td>1.5±0.7</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. When I am doing something, I can concentrate quite well.</td>
<td>2.5±1.2</td>
<td>2.6±1.3</td>
<td>2.5±1.3</td>
<td>2.3±1.2</td>
<td>2.4±1.3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS mean total score</td>
<td>22.6±7.3a</td>
<td>22.6±8.5b</td>
<td>20.9±6.6</td>
<td>20.5±6.7</td>
<td>18.7±5.9</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Higher scores indicate higher levels of fatigue. Bold = p < 0.05.
aClinically meaningful difference based on Norman’s rule of ~0.5 SD (34) detected between indicated group and the normative population.
bReversed items.
FAS, Fatigue Assessment Scale.
normative population. Levels of fatigue decreased with increasing time since diagnosis, but these differences were not significant. TC-specific HRQoL (neuromuscular, concentration, and psychological) and psychological distress were most strongly associated with fatigue.

Our results are consistent with the findings of a recent German study showing that TC patients at the beginning of inpatient rehabilitation do suffer from higher levels of fatigue than the general population (13), as was also found in a Korean study among short-term TC survivors (median 2.7 years after diagnosis) (14). A Dutch study among cured differentiated TC patients (median duration of cure of 6.3 years) showed that a longer duration of cure was correlated with better scores on general, mental, and physical fatigue (15). Our results also show decreasing levels of fatigue with increasing years since diagnoses; however, levels of fatigue of long-term survivors were higher than those of the normative population although not clinically relevant.

The high doses of replacement therapy (TSH <0.1 mU/L) in the first years of follow-up for patients who underwent ablation therapy or had high risk of recurrence, and the subsequent TSH suppression, may cause symptoms of fatigue.

**FIG. 1.** Fatigue levels of TC survivors compared to an age- and sex-matched normative population. % TC survivors stratified by years since diagnosis (short-term: <5 years; long-term: ≥5 years), and the normative population, which is fatigued. Category cutoffs were based on FAS total scores: not fatigued (10–21), fatigued (22–34), very fatigued (35–50). Significant differences were noted between the survivors and the normative population ($p < 0.001$).

### Table 4. Logistic Models of Factors Associated with Fatigue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1 (demographics)</th>
<th>Model 2 (model 1 + clinical)</th>
<th>Model 3 (model 2 + psychological distress)</th>
<th>Model 4 (model 2 + thyroid cancer–specific HRQoL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR [CI]</td>
<td>OR [CI]</td>
<td>OR [CI]</td>
<td>OR [CI]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Block 1 (demographic variables)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at survey</td>
<td>0.99 [0.97–1.01]</td>
<td>0.99 [0.97–1.01]</td>
<td>0.98 [0.95–1.01]</td>
<td>0.97 [0.93–1.00]*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.21 [0.69–2.12]</td>
<td>0.99 [0.55–1.18]</td>
<td>1.54 [0.68–3.49]</td>
<td>0.60 [0.23–1.56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td>2.79 [1.55–5.04]**</td>
<td>2.68 [1.46–4.93]**</td>
<td>2.09 [0.99–4.38]</td>
<td>2.57 [1.06–6.62]*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>1.77 [0.98–3.18]</td>
<td>1.94 [1.05–3.58]**</td>
<td>1.38 [0.62–2.07]</td>
<td>1.00 [0.39–2.56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Block 2 (clinical variables)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since diagnosis</td>
<td>0.99 [0.94–1.04]</td>
<td>1.03 [0.97–1.09]</td>
<td>1.01 [0.93–1.09]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.60 [1.42–4.74]**</td>
<td>2.39 [1.14–5.00]*</td>
<td>1.47 [0.58–3.73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage of cancer</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.01 [0.51–2.0]</td>
<td>0.90 [0.38–2.15]</td>
<td>0.55 [0.18–1.75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.23 [0.70–2.17]</td>
<td>1.12 [0.57–2.24]</td>
<td>0.95 [0.42–2.18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Block 3 (psychological distress)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS anxiety</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.15 [1.03–1.28]*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS depression</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.43 [1.22–1.68]**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Block 4 (thyroid cancer–specific HRQoL)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromuscular problems</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.03 [1.01–1.06]**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice problems</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.01 [0.99–1.03]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration problems</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.03 [1.01–1.06]*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sym pathetic problems</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.02 [0.99–1.04]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat/mouth problems</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.00 [0.97–1.02]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological problems</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.06 [1.02–1.10]**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory problems</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.00 [0.98–1.02]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fatigue: not fatigued (10–21) vs. fatigued (22–50). Continuous variables: time since diagnosis, age at survey, anxiety, depression, and thyroid cancer–specific HRQoL. Sex= male (reference) vs. female; educational status= high (reference) vs. medium/low; marital status= partner (reference) vs. no partner; comorbidity= no comorbidity (reference) vs. one or more comorbidities; stage = 1 and 2 (reference) vs. 3 and 4; treatment = surgery (reference) vs. surgery + additional therapy.

* $p<0.05$; ** $p<0.01$.

CI, 95% confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
(subclinical) hyperthyroidism. Subclinical hyperthyroidism is a reversible cause of fatigue (21). However, after the first years, the doses of replacement therapy are lowered for low-risk survivors (TSH level around median, 1 mU/L) to restore euthyroidism, and fatigue levels are therefore expected to decrease. This might explain the decrease in fatigue levels for long-term survivors compared to short-term survivors in our study. However, the long-term survivors still had statistically significant higher fatigue levels compared to the normative population. This is in contrast with another Dutch study showing that TC patients (n = 24) under long-term subclinical hyperthyroidism (>10 years) do not differ in the levels of general, mental, and physical fatigue compared to an age- and sex-matched reference group (16). Our finding that TC-specific HRQoL was associated with fatigue could indicate that the TSH level of around 1 mU/L might not be the optimal (preoperative) level for the specific patient (38), and that the patient therefore does not feel well. It could also be that substitution with levothyroxine alone may not replicate the physiological situation of the patient, and that a subset of patients may benefit from a combination therapy with liothyronine. However, studies on the efficacy of this combined levothyroxine/liothyronine therapy for hypothyroid patients have contradictory results (39).

Another explanation of our findings could be the presence of cancer-related fatigue (CRF). Fatigue levels of TC survivors (39–47%) are comparable to those observed in other cancer survivor populations (17–90%) (6,8,40). Results of our own research group showed that 39% of the colorectal cancer survivors could be classified as (very) fatigued (35) and 40% of the non-Hodgkin survivors reported constant levels of fatigue over time according to the FAS (36). According to the guidelines of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, CRF is defined as a distressing, persistent, subjective sense of physical, emotional, and/or cognitive tiredness or exhaustion related to cancer or its treatment that is not proportional to recent activity and interferes with usual functioning (41). The underlying mechanisms involved in CRF are not well understood. Possible mechanisms include effects of cancer or its treatment on the central nervous system, muscle energy metabolism, sleep rhythms (42), mediators of inflammation and stress (43), immune activation (44), and hormonal changes related to effects on the hypothalamic-pituitary axis (7). A Norwegian study showed that type or intensity of cancer treatment is unrelated to the level of CRF and more related to mental and somatic health problems (45). This finding is in line with the results of a Dutch study showing that levels of fatigue of TC survivors (median duration of cure of 6.3 years) were not affected by initial tumor stage, total activity of 131I, tumor recurrence, and replacement therapy dose (15). In addition to this, our own results show no associations between clinical factors and fatigue (except for comorbidity), while TC-specific HRQoL and psychological distress were most strongly associated with fatigue.

Because of the high prevalence of fatigue and the profound effect that it can have on a patient’s daily life, healthcare providers should be encouraged to inquire about the presence of this symptom (46). Both nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic treatments are available for the treatment of CRF. Currently, the best treatments are psychosocial interventions and exercise (46). Other nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic interventions have less supporting evidence but still may be effective for some patients. Recently, an Internet-based education program based on National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines showed good results to help patients manage CRF (47). Further research is needed to determine if an intervention for fatigue can reduce the high levels of fatigue among long-term TC survivors.

The present study has some limitations that should be mentioned. Although demographic and clinical information of nonrespondents and survivors with unverified addresses were available for comparison, the health status of these survivors and its possible effects on the current results remain unknown. Some selection bias might have occurred since short- and long-term TC survivors did not differ significantly in age at the time of the survey. It could be that long-term survivors more often had unverifiable addresses or died prior to the study. Furthermore, the cross-sectional study design limits the determination of causal association between cancer-related factors and fatigue. Also, information about levothyroxine dose and serum TSH levels was lacking in our study, which made it difficult to draw definitive conclusions about the mechanisms leading to the increased levels of fatigue. In addition, a logistic regression model including psychological distress and TC-specific HRQoL could not be performed, since there is a high correlation between the TC-specific psychological HRQoL scale and the anxiety scale of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Therefore, it was not possible to determine which of the factors was most strongly associated with fatigue.

Nevertheless, the present study provides an important contribution to the limited data available on fatigue of short- and long-term TC survivors. Since our study has a high response rate, extrapolating these results to the larger population of TC survivors seems justified. Furthermore, we were able to compare fatigue levels with an age- and sex-matched normative sample. Future research with longitudinal designs should make use of additional reference groups, for example, a group of patients with subnormal TSH caused by nodular autonomous goiter or another chronic disease, to see whether it is the history of TC accompanied by CRF or (subclinical) hyperthyroidism that causes the higher levels of fatigue compared to the normative population.

In conclusion, about 40% of the TC survivors report a high level of fatigue up to 20 years after diagnosis. Short- and long-term TC survivors report higher levels of fatigue compared with the normative population. TC-specific HRQoL and psychological distress were highly associated with fatigue.
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